Plant Archives Vol. 20 Suppliment 1, 2020 pp. 3727-3731 **e-ISSN:2581-6063 (online), ISSN:0972-5210**

EFFICACY OF SEAWEED AND SEAWATER ASSOCIATED *BACILLUS VELEZENSIS* AGAINST SHEATH BLIGHT OF RICE CAUSED BY *RHIZOCTONIA SOLANI* KUHN

T. Suthin Raj[1], A. Muthukumar[1], P. Renganathan[1] and H. Ann Suji[2*]

[1]Department of Plant Pathology, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University, Chidambaram (Tamil Nadu) India.
[2*]Centre for Advance Studies in Marine Biology, Annamalai University, Chidambaram (Tamil Nadu) India.

Abstract

Sheath blight [*Thanatephorus cucumeris* (Frank)] is encountered throughout the moderate and tropical rice growing regions and is caused by *Rhizoctonia solani* Kuhn. Eventhough chemicals control the rice sheath blight disease, the use of continuous, inappropriate and non-discriminative chemicals is an agent to bring undesirable effect such as residual toxicity, development of resistance, ecological degradation, perilous to the well being of humans and animals and increases the expense for plant protection. The fungitoxic effect of 30 isolates of bacterial biocontrol agents from various seaweed, sea water and sediments were evaluated under *in vitro* conditions on growth of *Rhizoctonia solani*, which is one of the causal agents of sheath blight. *Bacillus velezensis* Bs-1 (GenBank Accession number- MK368533) was the most successful, showing 63.60% discretion of colony growth with a minimum mean mycelial dry weight (127.25 mg/50m/broth) of the pathogen. The aim of this research work is to study the use of biocontrol agents as a substitute to fungicide in the control of sheath blight of rice. The present study identified that the usefulness of bacterial biocontrol agents against fungal pathogens is due to larger levels and early accretion of phenolics and phytoalexins, and the field study proved that, *R. solani* can be controlled by the usage of *Bacillus velezensis*.

Key words: Seaweeds, *Rhizoctonia solani, Bacillus velezensis,* Antifungal compounds.

Introduction

Nowadays a major barrier to rice cultivation is the rice sheath blight disease by *Rhizoctonia solani*. (Savary *et al*., 2006). The of rice cropping systems are strengthened with the development of new short height, high tillering, high yielding varieties and an increase in nitrogen fertilization. (Gangopadhay and Chakrabarthi, 1982; Ou, 1985) *R. solani* has been visualised as an economically valid rice pathogen". Sclerotia may be uneven to spherical and measure 4-5 mm in diameter, basidia and basidiospores are formed under normal conditions and measure 10-15×7-9 nm and 8-11×6.5 nm respectively. Roy (1993) indicated that *R. solani* inhabits organic matter in the soil as mycelium because of its plant pathogenic activity and its saprophytic nature. Srinivas *et al*., (2013) stated that, a total crop loss varies from 30 to 40 percent in prevalent areas and it extend to a total loss when it spreads to upper parts of the plant and panicles is seen because of rice sheath blight disease. This is usually encountered by the usage of fungicides with a wide range of activity that targets more than one disease. Presently, sheath blight disease management is done using systemic fungicides (Chahal *et al*., 2003) and the bacterial bio-control representatives similar to plant growth enhancing rhizobacteria (PGPR) present a promising way of safe guarding from plant diseases (Mew and Rosales, 1986). Brown seaweeds have bio-control properties and possess many organic compounds and growth regulators such as auxins, gibberellins and precursor of ethylene and betaine which have an effect on plant growth (Jayaraj *et al*., 2011; Suthin Raj *et al*., 2018). However, the haphazard use of fungicides paves way to residual toxicity on the manufacture, development of chemicals resistance and also acts as an cause for

***Author for correspondence :** E-mail: hannsuji@gamil.com

environmental pollution and hence, there is an urgent need to develop alternative disease control procedures. Carling *et al*., (1990) and Bharathi *et al*., (2004) stated that a viable substitute to the use of chemical pesticide is felicitated by organic control of plant pathogens.

Materials and methods

Isolation, maintenance and identification of *R. solani*

The plants with representative signs of sheath blight disease were collected fresh from twenty traditional rice growing areas of Tamilnadu. The pathogens secluded from each of these localities formed one isolate of *R.solani*. The pathogen was isolated to potato dextrose agar (PDA) medium from diseased plants showing characteristic symptoms. The piece of the fruit with diseased symptoms was cut into small pieces, surface sterilized in 0.1% mercuricchloride solution for 30 seconds and then cleaned repeatedly with sterile distilled water and plated onto sterile PDA medium in 9 cm Petri dishes. The plates were incubated at room temperature (28 ±2°C) for five days and then checked for fungal growth. Rangaswami, (1972) had found the use of single spore isolation technique be used to obtain a clean culture.

Evaluation of antagonistic bacteria against *R.solani.*

Isolation of bacteria from seaweeds, seawater and sediments (Sutha *et al*., 2011)

In the present study, Different serial dilutions such as, 10^{-1}, 10^{-2}, 10^{-3} and 10^{-4} were prepared from the 10ml made-up samples of seaweeds and sediments and as well from 10ml of seawater samples. For each dilution, 100µl wash down was spread on to petri plates containing approximately 15 ml of 1.5% ZoBell marine agar. The plates were then incubated at 25±2°C and bacterial colonies with different morphology were selected up every 6h up to 4 days and marked on the fresh plates with ZoBell marine agar. Pure cultures of each isolates were long-established by subsequent restreaking. Then, they were chosen with unique codes and stored in glycerol suspension (glycerol/bacterial broth of 1:1 v/v) in Eppendorf tubes at -80°C for further analysis.

Table A: List of bacterium recorded from the samples of seawater and sediments

S. No	Place	Bacterium	
		Seawater	Sediments
1	Kanyakumari	*Bacillus cereus* *Aeromonas salmonicida* *Lactobacillus fermenti*	*Lactobacillus fermenti*
2	Parangipettai	*Aeromonas hydrophila*	*Aeromonas hydrophila*
3	Cuddalore	*Aeromonas salmonicida*	*Aeromonas salmonicida*
4	Thootukudi	*Lactobacillus fermenti*	*Aeromonas hydrophila*
5	Rameshwaram	*Bacillus subtilis* *Aeromonas salmonicida*	*Bacillus subtilis* *Aeromonas hydrophila* *Aeromonas salmonicida*

PCR amplification of fungal ITS region from *Bacillus velezensis* isolates

The primers FIGS 1(Forward) and FIGS 2 (Reverse) were used to amplify the *Bacillus velezensis* species in different soil samples.

FIGS 1 - 5'- GTA AGC CGT CCT TCG CCT CG - 3'

FIGS 2 - 5'- GCC ATA CTA TTG AAT TTT GC - 3'

The cocktail for the amplification was prepared in 0.2 ml PCR tubes as detailed below:

DNA 25 ng/ml 2.00µl

dNTPs (2.5 mM) 2.00 µl

Forward Primer (30 picomole) 2.00 µl

Reverse Primer (30 picomole) 2.00 µl

10x assay buffer 2.00 µl

Taq polymerase (3 units/µl) 0.40 µl

Magnesium chloride 2.00 µl

Sterile distilled H20 8.60 µl

Total 20.00 µl

Then the 0.2 ml PCR tubes were placed on to a thermocycler (Agilent technologies) and the thermal cycler was programmed as follows:

Profile 1: 94°C for 1 min Initial denaturation

Profile 2: 94°C for 1min Denaturation

Profile 3: 58°C for 1min Annealing

Profile 4: 72°C for 1 min Extension

Profile 5: 72°C for 5 min Final extension

Profile 6: 4°C for infinity to hold the samples until attended

Profiles 2, 3 and 4 were programmed to run for 30 cycles. The amplified PCR products were run on 1.5% agarose gel in tris-borate buffer. The gel was stained with ethidium bromide, visualized on a UV-transilluminator and photographed in the gel documentation unit (Alpha Innotech Corp, USA).

Screening of marine bacterial isolates for antibiotic production

According to the morphological, Gram's discoloration and biochemical individuality described in the Bergey's manual, 26 strains having up to 5 bacterial category were enunciated from different samples like seaweed, sediment and seawater and were assessed for antibiotics production. Bacteria grown-up in the medium developing reserve zone around the discs were measured as antibiotic producers. Thus 3 bacteria from 16 strains were observed as antibiotic producers and they were then taken up for further viewing against plant pathogens.

Dual culture

B. velezensis was developed on nutrient agar (peptone -5g, meat extract – 1g, yeast extract 2g, sodium chloride- 5g, pH 7.0) medium. An 8 mm vigorously growing PDA culture disc of the pathogen was set aside on PDA medium in a sterilized petri dish at one side, 1.5 cm away from the edge of the plate, and incubated at a temperature of 28 ± 2°C. After forty eight hrs, actively growing 48-h-old cultures of the respective experimental bacteria were individually noticed on to average at the contrary side of the plate, 1.5 cm away from the edge of the plate. And at room temperature of (28 ± 2°C) the inoculated plates were incubated. Three replications were maintained for antagonist activity. Potato dextrose agar medium (PDA Medium) inoculated with the pathogen alone served as a control. After 8 days, the radial progress of the pathogen was seen and measured. The results were expressed as percent growth inhibition over control. The most effective isolates of *B. velezensis* were used for further study.

Mycelial dry weight

PDA was prepared in 250 ml Erlenmeyer flasks and autoclaved. Culture filtrates of *Bacillus velezensis* at 10 ml were added to 40 ml broth in flask so as to get a final concentration of 20 per cent of the filtrate in broth. The broth was inoculated with 8mm culture disc of *R. solani* and incubated for 10 days at 28± 1^0C. The control solution was the broth without the inclusion of filtrate. After the incubation period, on an earlier weighed filter paper, the mycelial mat was harvested and dried out at 105^0C for 12 h in a hot air oven and was cooled in desiccators. The mycelial weight was documented as mg/50 ml/broth.

Evaluation of *Bacillus velezensis* (MK368533) for the management of *R.solani* under field conditions

A field trial was conducted at Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University, Chidambaram during June to September 2018 to test the efficacy of *B. velezensis* against sheath blight disease. Trial was set in plots (33×13feet) laid out in a randomized block design. Thirty days old rice seedlings of var. ADT 36 was transplanted in cement carriages. *R. solani* was inoculated over the plant canopy by one gram rice hull/ rice grain, placed on basal leaves and closed with polythene bags on the 20th day after transplanting. The below given treatment schedule was designed on the basis of the above phenomena. The cultivar ADT 36 was raised as per the Crop Production Guide (2017).

Treatment details

T_1: Application of *Bacillus velezensis* (seed treatment)

T_2: Application of *Bacillus velezensis* (prophylactic spray at 20, 40 and 60 DAT)

T_3: $T_1 + T_2$

T_4: Seed treatment with mancozeb + spraying 50 and 75 DAT

T_5: Control.

Disease Incidence

The evaluation of sheath blight damage for rice plant was visualized on their 30th, 50th and 70th days after transplantation. The strength of sheath blight was calculated as per cent disease index (PDI) grade chart given by Ravinder Reddy (1982) and using the formula given by McKinney (1923) as described earlier.

Plant Growth Parameters

Growth parameters *viz*., plant height, number of productive tillers, 1000 g weight, straw yield and grain yield were analyzed for the plants.

Experimental design and data analysis

The experiments were conducted by completely randomized design (CRD) with three replications. The significant difference, if any, among the means were compared by the Duncan's multiple range test (DMRT). Whenever necessary, the data were distorted before statistical analysis following appropriate methods.

Results

Effect of Marine bacteria against *R.solani*

The results of the screening of 10 isolates of bacteria against *R. solani* on PDA plates are given in Table 1. Among the *Bacillus* sp isolates *B. velezensis* Bv-1 was found to be the most effective against the test pathogen showing 63.60 per cent reservation of colony growth and minimum mean mycelial growth of pathogen (127.25). It was further followed by isolated *B. subtilis* showing 62.55

Table 1: Evaluation of various isolates of *Bacillus velezensis* against *R. solani* by dual culture technique

S. No.	Isolates	Linear growth (mm)		% Growth inhibition	Mycelial dry weight (mg/50m/broth)				
		Antagonist	*R. solani*		10%	20%	30%	40%	Mean
1	*Bacillus velezensis*	64.00	26.00	63.60[a]	216.00	178.00	88.00	28.00	127.25[a]
2	*Bacillus subtilis*	59.70	30.30	62.55[b]	226.00	195.00	100.10	38.00	139.77[b]
3	*Bacillus cereus*	58.50	31.50	61.11[b]	268.00	220.00	118.00	50.00	164.00[c]
4	*Aeromonas salmonicida*	54.50	35.50	60.66[c]	297.00	223.00	136.00	52.00	177.00[c]
5	*Lactobacillus fermenti*	52.70	37.30	57.40[d]	323.00	248.00	158.00	52.00	195.25[d]
6	Control			0.00[e]	480.00	480.00	480.00	480.00	480.00[e]

*Values in the column followed by common letters do not differ significantly by DMRT (P=0.05)

Table 2: Effect of *Bacillus velezensis* (Marine environmental bacteria) on Sheath blight incidence under field condition

Treatments	Sheath blight incidence on 30th DAT	% Increase over control	Sheath blight incidence on 50th DAT	% Increase over control	Sheath blight incidence on 70th DAT	% Increase over control
T_1 - Application of *Bacillus velezensis* (Seed treatment)	3.8[b]	81	8.1[b]	79	11.7[d]	83
T_2 - Application of *Bacillus velezensis* (prophylactic spray at 30, 50 and 70 DAT)	3.4[b]	84	7.3[b]	81	10.9[d]	84
T_3 - T1 + T2	2.3[a]	88	6.6[a]	83	8.3[a]	88
T_4-Seed treatment with mancozeb + spraying, 30 and 45 DAT	2.6[a]	86	7.0[a]	82	10.2[b]	85
T_5- Control	7.5[e]		8.7[e]		9.2[c]	

*Values in the column followed by common letters do not differ significantly by DMRT (P=0.05).

Table 3: Effect of *Bacillus velezensis* (Marine environmental bacteria) on growth and yield attributes under greenhouse conditions

Treatments	Mean plant height (cm)	Mean no. of productive tillers	Mean 1000 g weight	Straw yield (ton/ha.)	Grain yield (g/plant)
T_1 - Application of *Bacillus velezensis* (Seed treatment)	83.50[c]	12[e]	18[d]	5.40[c]	26[d]
T_2 - Application of *Bacillus velezensis* (prophylactic spray at 30, 50 and 70 DAT)	76.90[e]	10[f]	16[d]	4.00[c]	23e
T_3 - T1 + T2	95.47[a]	14[a]	25[a]	8.72[a]	35[a]
T_4-Seed treatment with mancozeb + spraying, 30 and 45 DAT	92.13[a]	14[c]	22[a]	8.00[a]	34[b]
T_5- Control	74.00[d]	10[f]	12[e]	4.55[f]	18[e]

*Values in the column followed by common letters do not differ significantly by DMRT (P=0.05).

per cent reservation and minimum mean mycelial growth (139.75) which were statistical on par with each other. A minimum growth inhibition (57.40) and minimum mycelial growth of the pathogen was found by the usage of the isolate *Lactobacillus ferment*. All the isolates significantly minimized the mycelial growth of the pathogen over the control.

Mycelial Growth

The mycelial growth of the pathogen was experimented against bioinoculants at 10, 20, 30 and 40 per cent concentrations. Among them, *B. velezensis* isolated was significantly plummeting the growth of mycelium at 216.00, 178.00, 88.00 and 28.00 mg/50ml broth respectively. It was followed by *B. subtilis* isolated with 226.00, 195.00, 100.10 and 38.00 36 mg/50ml broth. All the isolates significantly reduced the mycelia growth of the pathogen over the control (Table 1). Hence the superior isolate, *B. velezensis* was used for the then studies.

Effect of *B. velezensis* on incidence of sheath blight under field conditions

From the results (Table 2) it can be identified that the use of *B. velezensis* (seed treatment + prophylactic spray at 20, 40 and 60 DAT) (T_3) significantly has minimised the incidence of sheath blight at 30, 50 and 70 days after transplanting as compared to the other forms of treatments. This was followed by the treatment of Mancozeb (seed treatment + prophylactic spraying 30 and 45 DAT) (T_4).

Effect of *Bacillus velezensis* and mancozeb on growth and yield of *R. solani* under field condition

Table 3 pictures that all treatments have significantly improved the fruit yield and growth, as compared to the control. From the various groupings that was assessed it was found that, seed treatment + prophylactic spraying 30, 50 and 70 DAT with *B. velezensis* (T_3) considerably enhanced the mean plant height (95.47 cm), mean number of productive tillers (14 nos), mean 1000g weight (26g), straw yield (8.72 ton/ha) and grain yield (35 g/plant), in comparison to all other methods followed by spraying mancozeb (seed treatment +prophylactic spraying at 30 and 45 DAT) (T_4).

Discussion

From various regions of Tamilnadu, ten isolates of *B. velezensis* were isolated and tested their efficiency against *R.solani*. In the present study, it was found that among the ten isolates, for *B. velezensis* Bv-1 maximum kept the growth of *R.solani* in dual plating technique. A same sort of result was found in the studies of Suthin Raj, (2011) and Anand *et al*., (2010). They have stated that, isolate Bv-1 has powerfully inhibited the growth of *R.solani* in laboratory circumstances and field situations. This may be because of *B. velezensis* isolates production of a collection of antifungal antibiotics such as 2, 4-diacetylphloglucinol, oligomycin, phenazine, pyoluteorin, pyrolnitrin and pyocyanin (Gupta *et al*., 2001). Hofte and Bakker, 2007 and Reddy *et al*., 2008 had earlier stated that, antifungal compounds like HCN, salicyclic acid and 2-hydroxyl phenazine produced by bacterial biocontrol agents has suppressed the plant pathogenic fungi.

References

Anand, T., A. Chandrasekaran, S. Kuttalam, S. Senthilraja and R. Samiyappan (2010). Integrated control of fruit rot and powdery mildew of chilli using the biological agent *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and a chemical fungicide. *Biocontrol,* **52**: 1-7.

Bharathi, R., R. Vivekananthan, S. Harish, A. Ramanathan and R. Samiyappan (2004). Rhizobacteria based bio-formulation for the management of fruit rot infection of chillies. *Crop Protection,* **23**: 835-843.

Carling, D.E., D.J. Helm and R.H. Leiner (1990). In vitro sensitivity of *Rhizoctonia solani* and other multinucleate and binucleate *Rhizoctonia* to selected fungicides. *Plant Dis*., **74**: 860-863.

Chahal, K.S., S.S. Sokhi and G.S. Rattan (2003). Investigations on sheath blight of rice in Punjab. *Indian Phytopathology,* **56**: 22-26.

Gangopadhaya, S. and N.K. Chakrabarti (1982). Sheath blight of rice. *Review of Plant Pathology,* **61**: 451-460

Gupta, S.K., P.P. Gupta and C.D. Kaushik (2001). Changes in leaf peroxidase, polyphenol oxidase, catalase and total phenols due to *Alternaria* leaf blight in *Brassica* species. *Indian J. Mycol. Plant Pathol.,* **25**: 175-180.

Hofte, M. and P.A.H.M. Bakker (2007). Competition for iron and induced systemic resistance by siderophore of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria. *Soil Biology,* **12**: 121-133.

Jayaraj, J., J. Norrie and Z.K. Punja (2011). Commercial extract from the brown seaweed *Ascophyllum nodosum* reduces fungal diseases in greenhouse cucumber. *Journal of Applied Phycology,* **23:** 353-

McKinney, H.H. (1923). A new system of growing grading plant diseases. *J. Agric. Res.,* **26**: 195-218.

Mew, T.W. and A.M. Rosales (1986). Bacterization of ice plants for control of sheath blight caused by *Rhizoctonia solani*. *Phytopathology,* **76**: 1260-1264.

Ou, S.H. (1985). Rice disease. Common wealth mycological institute, Kew, Surray, UK.368.

Rangaswamy, G. (1972). *Diseases of Crop Plants in India*. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, P. 504.

Ravinder Reddy, M. (1982). Evaluation of fungicides against major diseases of chilli. *M.Sc. (Ag.), Thesis,* Tamil Nadu Agric. Univ., Coimbatore, India, 64.

Reddy, B.P., K.R.N. Reddy, B.P. Subba Roa and K.S. Roa (2008). Efficacy of antimicrobial metabolites of *Pseudomonads fluorescens* against rice fungal pathogens. *Curr. Trends Biotechnol. Pharmacy,* **2**: 178-182.

Roy, A.K. (1993). Sheath blight of rice in India. *Indian Phytopathology,* **46**: 197-205.

Savary, S., P.S. Teng, L.Willocquat and F.W.J. Nutter (2006). Quantification and modeling of crop losses: A review of purposes. *Annual Review of Phytopathology,* **44**: 89-112.

Srinivas, P., Ratan Prakash Patel and G. Bindu Madhavi (2013). Review on Banded leaf and sheath blight of rice caused by *Rhizoctonia solani* Kuhn. *International Journal of Applied Biology and Pharmaceutical Technology,* **61(2)**: 80-97.

Suthin Raj, T. (2011). Studies on Integrated Disease Management of chilli anthracnose caused by *Colletotrichum capsici* (Syd.) butler and bisby. *Ph.D Thesis., Annamalai University,* 168

Suthin Raj, T., S. Vignesh, A. Arumuka pravin and H. Ann Suji (2018). Bio chemical characterization of brown seaweed, Identification of antifungal activity associated with mangrove leaf and its efficacy on management of rice sheath blight caused by *Rhizoctonia solani* (Kuhn). *International Journal of Tropical Agriculture,* **36(2)**:449-461.

Sutha, S.P., M. Venkatesan, K. Arunkumar (2011). Endobiotic bacteria in some seaweeds of Thondi coastal region in Palk Bay Tamil Nadu India. *J. Mar. Biol. Ass. India,* **53**:251-256.